G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

192/.

(Nil)

File No. 399

Serial Nos.

1–2

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos.

Part B for Jan 922

SUBJECT.

Re proposal to Sri Kareswaraswami temple at Basavanniahalli (Sri Kunigalur Taluk)

D.C. Kadur, (copy to
No 3827 & dt 23/12/22
States that Govt interference
for the ___ is not called for

WD 1888—GPB—16,826—23-7-21

G. R. S. III— 1921.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

MW2

NOTES.

File No. 399-21

FILE HEADING.

Management of Sri Oorkareswara temple at Basavanbally
Part II maintained in Urdu.

From

The Dep: Commr Kadur

No. Cp. 417/21-22 Dated 6-12-21 Received 8-12-21

Diary No. 2303	Enclos 1/- Spare Copies	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits for orders the file of papers re the management of the repairs of the Sri Omkareswara temple
Request that Govt be pleased to order payt of bills from the funds at the disposal of the District Authorities.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

See orders. If it is not a Mun: Instn, why should we receive & scrutinise bills & make payts? Why should we not refund the money? JB [illegible] 17-12-21

The cause suggested by the Dy Comr appears to be a proper one & the money being regulated that it will be spent on the temple, was refunded & paid into the hands of [illegible] who cannot without any means of checking that it was properly expended

NOTES—First Sheet.

D 1232 GPB—20,000—18 11 16

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Vers File No. 389 of 1921.

Serial No.

Dy Commissioner would be in a better position to see to the completion of the work, as per assurance of Nanjundiah the son of donor Bandiah referred to in paragraph 6 of the paper under disposal.

In the last paragraph of his letter the Dy Comr says that he has an idea of recommending the taking over of this institution for management by Govt, after the repairs now contemplated are fully executed.

GB.
12/12/21

We feel that the temple was built and endowed with a large sum of money and the son of the donor has agreed to execute repairs, collecting subscriptions for the same, should that the temple has a large circle of devotees, who are interested in the institution. It was a mistake the Dy Comr acknowledging, to have credited the subscriptions received for the repairs to Muzrai funds when the inst[n] has not been recognised by Govt.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. of 19

Serial No.

but by doing so, ~~[illegible]~~ an impression has been created in the minds of the devotees that the Govt. have undertaken to regularise the Expre on repairs, and will ultimately look to its management also.

The action of the Dy Comr may ~~[illegible]~~ be appd by Govt., and his proposals for taking the mint under Govt control with a rough scale of Expre, called for if the devotees have no objn.

BL
19-12-24

Is the Legislature in session? If not, a Notification dispensing with previous publication of the Bill may be issued.

Secy
26.12.24

3462-135
24/12/24

as above
The position may be made clear to the Govt

Draft Bill -
HRE - 9 / BL
9.1.25

NOTE—Contn sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mun. File No. 397 of 1921-22

Serial No.	
2	To The Dep. Comm. Kadapa Dt. & Cuddapah. G.O. No. 3827-8 & 23rd Jan. 22 States that Govt. interference is not called for.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

27878 1921-22

From

The Deputy Commissioner, 2303

Kadur District. 21-12

To 397-21

The Secretary to the Government of H 1
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
..Inam.. Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated 16th December 1921.
Despatched

Received

General No. C.P. 417
No. 21-22

Enclosure No. ..one file—

SUBJECT

Submits the record re the management and repairs to the Sri Gavikaresvaraswami Temple at Basavanavanahalli requests that the sanction may be accorded to the payment of bills.

Subd for orders. If approves draft G.O. approving the action of the Dy Comr, will be subd for appl

CC,
14/12/21

If it is not a Muzrai institution why these records and why should we scrutinize bills and make payments? Why should we not refund the money?

VF 17/12/21

Resubmitted for orders. The course suggested by the Dy Comr, appears to guarantee a safer way of expenditure than it would be, if the money was refunded and paid to the heads of one or two men, without any means of checking that the money it was finally used. Besides this, the (continued on page 5)

CC
8/12/21

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

Chikmagalur, Dated...6th December 1921.

From

K. Yegna PAO, Esq., B.A., I.C.S.,

DEPUTY COMMISSIONER,
KADUR DISTRICT.

To

The Chief Secretary, H.E.H.,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE,
Huzrai Department,
BANGALORE

SIR,

In submitting herewith the file of vernacular correspondence ending with the Chikmagalur Taluk Sub Divisional Assistant Commissioner's Kadi No.K.E.C.140/21-22 dated 6th June 1921 regarding the management of the Dharmadhikari of the Sri Omkareshwara Swami Temple at Basavanahalli in the Chikmagalur taluk, I have the honour to state that the institution is a private one built by one Kalli Gowlappish of Basavanahalli about 50 years ago. He managed the affairs of the temple himself till the year 1911 when he offered to make it over to Government for the reason that he was getting old and felt unable to manage it efficiently. He endowed the temple with a sum of Rs.1115/- in the shape of bonds and requested that the amounts due on these bonds might be recovered and the interest realised thereon utilised for the conduct of services in the temple.

2. It was urged at the time as an additional ground for Government taking over the management that Ganapathi Devaru endowed with an inam land survey No.63 measuring 37 guntas and assessed at Rs 5/- which was left without a shelter when the temple was pulled down at the time of the laying out of roads in the Chikmagalur town, had been consecrated with the common consent of the devotees in the said Onkareswaraswami temple. The Deputy Commissioner directed in his order to the Amildar No.6 dated 4th November 1902 that, if it was true that the Ganapathi Devaru had been consecrated in that temple as alleged, the amounts due might be recovered and worship arranged.

3. It is now reported that the amounts due including interest recovered in accordance with this order have been invested in the Government Savings Bank and the amount now available in the Savings Bank account is Rs 1118-14-6. Amounts to the extent of Rs 869/- are still outstanding.

4. As the building was in urgent need of repairs, a subscription list is reported to have been circulated among the devotees and the amounts collected credited to the Muzrai funds of the temple. The amount now available under this head is Rs 517-8-6. In addition to this cash contribution, Patel Siddegowda of Kalehalli has undertaken to supply 1000 Mangalore tiles for the purpose of roofing. But the estimate got prepared for the urgent works required, which was scrutinised by the Executive Engineer comes up to Rs 1100/-.

5. This estimate was sought to be recommended for sanction, the balance required to make up the estimated cost being also recommended to be contributed from the State Grant. As large sums were still due from the devotees and were outstanding for several years past, I thought that a case had not been made out for the Government to recognise the temple as a Muzrai Institution and to contribute anything from the State Grant. I accordingly declined to recommend the case to Government as prayed for and

issued an endorsement to B.Manjundiah who was petitioning on behalf of the devotees.

6. This Manjundiah is the son of the donor Bandiah. It is understood that he took some trouble in collecting private -- subscriptions. He has now come forward with the request that the money collected from the devotees and now available under the head uzrai funds may be given to him and he would arrange for the execution with the estimate for Rs 1100/-, making up the balance required by collecting further subscriptions from the devotees. As the request was reasonable, I have issued orders permitting the work to be started and promising the payment of the amount now held under uzrai funds from time to time on bills for work done. I request that the action taken may be kindly approved and sanction accorded to the payment of bills as the credit to uzrai funds is itself a mistake, the Government having not yet recognised the institution as a uzrai one.

7. After the outstanding loans are fully recovered so as to enable the working of the institution being placed on a satisfactory footing, I shall resubmit the records with a recommendation to take over the institution and its affairs under the management of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

K Nagarkar

Deputy Commissioner,
Kadur District.

mea.

Ab
Ags
3/1/1

G.R.S. VI-71]

SUBJECT... Sanctions hie refused of certain amounts collected by Sri Ondareswaraswami Temple at Basavanahalli, Chickamagalur Dt.

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Section Emy
File No. 3 99-21
Serial No. 2

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

Proceedings of the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

, the *191* .

Date of issue 23-1-22

Signed by Chief Secretary

Read.— Letter No. C.P. 417/21-22 dy 6th Dec: 1921 from the Dy. Commr., Kadur Dt., stating that the temple of Sri Ondareswaraswami at Basavanahalli in the Chickamagalur Dt was founded by one Madiga Bandappaiya about 50 years ago who also endowed the temple with a Jeeve of Rs 1115/- in the shape of bonds, that ~~recoveries to~~ in cheque 122-1- the extent of Rs 1115-14-

over.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

iii published in the Gazette English part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada part ?

~~Order Thereon: No.~~

Received

Made and deposited in the

Govt. Savings Bank that as the

Temple building was in urgent

need of repairs, a Subscription

list was opened and a sum

of Rs 517-8-6 was collected was

wrongly credited to Nagrai

the funds of the institution

and that as the Hon

ble the Dewan Bahadur has

has come forward to execute

the necessary repairs out of the

money collected from the

devotees and available at

the credit of the Temple Supplemented by further Subscriptions,

Sirs, he has issued orders permitting

the work to be started on provision

of the amount now held under

Nagrai funds being made available

from time to time on bills

for work done and requesting

that the estimates by

Sirs may be approved, pending

Rs 1100/-,

and this sum

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

Submitted for definite proposals to take the institution under Govt. management after the loans to the extent of Rs 860/- still outstanding are recovered.

order thereon No. 3827-8 in one file

I consider that as the temple is not a Muzrai institution, the interference of Government is not called for. The money belonging to the temple ~~should~~ should therefore be refunded.

Sec., Muz. Dept.

To. 24 9/1/22

The Dy. Comrs., Kadur Dt., Mysore.

Recd.

Complied.